

# Summary of Judgments Referring to the *Bugmy Bar Book*

Last updated: Jan 2025

NSW Court of Criminal Appeal	
<p><a href="#">Aiga [2024] NSWCCA 175</a></p> <p>(N.Adams J, Ierace and Sweeney JJ agreeing)</p> <p><i>Recklessly cause GBH - submissions at first instance referred to Bugmy Bar Book chapters</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Applicant struck female bar attendant with glass while intoxicated - consideration of relationship between PTSD and intoxication at time of offence</li> <li>Submissions to Sentencing Judge referred to <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b>, <i>Bugmy</i> (2013) 249 CLR 571; [2013] HCA 37 and <i>DPP (Cth) v De La Rosa</i> (2010) 79 NSWLR 1; [2010] NSWCCA 194: <b>at [38]</b></li> </ul>
<p><a href="#">Baines [2023] NSWCCA 302</a></p> <p>(Simpson AJA, McNaughton J agreeing; Dhanji J dissenting on this point in separate judgment)</p> <p><i>Appeal against sentence for murder of underworld figure – usefulness of chapters from Bugmy Bar Book in understanding relevance and impact of deprived background – does not substitute for evidence in relation to specific personal circumstances of offender</i></p> <p><b>A summary of this case is also available on the NSW Judicial Commission’s Judicial Information Research System (JIRS): <a href="#">Link</a></b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Appeal against sentence imposed for well-planned murder of underworld figure.</li> <li>Evidence tendered of offender’s disadvantaged childhood at first instance – indigenous offender – exposed to violence and substance abuse – low socio-economic status and neglect – incarceration of both parents – mother a member of Stolen Generation.</li> </ul> <p><u>Ground 4 - per Simpson AJA (Dhanji and McNaughton JJ agreeing)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Unanimously allowed appeal on ground Sentencing Judge erred in not applying principles in <b>Bugmy</b> to reduce appellant’s moral culpability - psychologist report clearly identified causal connection between disadvantaged background and offence, and nothing in <b>Bugmy</b> supports suggestion of Sentencing Judge that deprived background could diminish moral culpability for lesser offences but not for more serious offence of murder: <b>at [71]-[76]</b></li> </ul> <p><u>Ground 5 - per Simpson AJA (McNaughton J agreeing – Dhanji J dissenting on this ground)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In declining to uphold separate ground that Sentencing Judge erred in finding extracts from <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b> irrelevant to sentencing process Simpson AJA considered ‘the use, if any, that may properly be made of that material.’ <b>at [77]</b></li> <li>Described <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b> as containing a ‘considerable quantity of social research’ that is ‘undoubtedly a useful compilation of material relevant to understanding the effects of social disadvantage and deprivation’: <b>at [83]-[85]</b></li> <li>Further commented ‘the survey of reference materials qualifies as “expert evidence”, that is, “expert knowledge” drawn from what appears to be academic research, that is capable of assisting a sentencing judge to understand specific evidence about the</li> </ul>

<p><a href="#">JIRS: Recent Law</a></p>	<p>circumstances of a particular offender, placed in a wider context': <b>at [90]</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• While warning such material alone cannot substitute for evidence in respect of an individual offender, accepted it may be useful in some cases in laying a foundation for acceptance of relevance of evidence of offender's personal circumstances: <b>at [91]</b></li> <li>• In this case additional material from <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b> did not add to comprehensive nature of psychologist report and failure by Sentencing Judge to have regard to material did not contribute to the error under Ground Four: <b>at [97]</b></li> </ul> <p><u>Ground 5 - per Dhanji J (dissenting on this ground)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In separate judgment Dhanji J found evidence of <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b> chapters were relevant and capable of bearing on determination of sentence, and failure of Sentencing Judge to have regard to material constituted an error: <b>at [131]</b></li> <li>• After summarising the way evidence of offender's background had been supplemented with chapters from <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b> before the Sentencing Judge, Dhanji J observed the value of the research lay in its potential to enhance understanding of impact of an offender's background, particularly in cases where connection to offending is not self-evident and contested: <b>at [145]-[146], [150]</b></li> <li>• Also considered importance of counsel's presentation of material, including use of references to specific paragraphs of <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b> relied upon in submissions, narrowing material required to be reviewed by Sentencing Judge. <b>at [152]</b></li> </ul>
<p><a href="#">Hockley v R [2023] NSWCCA 285</a></p> <p>(Wilson J, Mitchelmore JA and Rothman J agreeing)</p> <p><i>Child sexual offences - Sentencing Judge provided Bugmy Bar Book chapter on Childhood Sexual Abuse at first instance</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Prior to delivering sentence Sentencing Judge provided each party with chapter from <b>Bugmy Bar Book Project</b> which discussed child sexual abuse and its relevance to sentence – confirmed parties did not object to her Honour having regard to material in chapter – observed it effectively supported report of psychologist: <b>at [59]</b></li> </ul> <p>[64] ... "That takes me to what is to be made of that material on sentence. I have marked for identification the chapter on Childhood Sexual Abuse produced by the Bar Book in November 2019 which further corroborates the opinion of the psychologist. That Bar Book Project chapter makes reference to the Australian Institute of Family which indicates that children who have been exposed to sexual abuse have been found to suffer from increased risk of drug and alcohol dependence, to suffer from various anxiety disorders and are also found to be at greater risk of engaging in risky behaviours, particularly risky sexual behaviours, during adolescence and in adulthood, and are less able to cope with sexual impulses and may develop lifelong difficulties tolerating or regulating distress, behaviour and impulses and have greater difficulty in managing interpersonal relationships.</p>

<p><a href="#">Dunn [2023] NSWCCA 1</a></p> <p>(Loneragan J, Beech-Jones CJ at CL and Price J agreeing)</p> <p><i>Aggravated armed robbery – reference made to chapter of Bugmy Bar Book by Sentencing Judge – judicial notice taken of research</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sole issue on appeal was parity</li> <li>• Quoted extensively from judgment of Sentencing Judge including section headed 'Background of Disadvantage' – Sentencing Judge had 'reference to the <b>Public Defender's Bugmy Bar Book chapter</b> on early exposure to alcohol and other drugs' and took 'judicial notice that research shows that children in families with parental or carer substance abuse, are at greater risk of a range of adverse developmental outcomes.': <b>at [23]</b></li> <li>• Sentencing judge further commented: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Living in a household where misuse of alcohol and drugs occur, may increase the likelihood of children being substance misusers themselves and being involved in the juvenile justice system. The direct effects of childhood exposure to alcohol and other drug abuse, may include emotional and physical abuse, maltreatment, modelling of poor drinking and substance abusing behaviours, inadequate supervision and separation from parents.</li> <li>The placement of children into the care of other care givers or the placement with informal kinship care arrangements, or being placed in out of home care, protection and authorities can result Parental incarceration and/or experiences of out of home care, are themselves factors which may increase the likelihood of a person coming into contact with tile criminal justice system.</li> <li>The relevant references to those studies can be found on the website just cited. The potential relevance of evidence of early exposure to alcohol and/or other drug abuse in sentencing proceedings includes an assessment of moral culpability, moderation of the weight to be given to general deterrence and determining the weight to be given to specific deterrence and protection of the community.</li> <li>It is also relevant to a finding of special circumstances and the shaping of conditions to enhance prospects of rehabilitation. I accept that matters personal to the offender that are causally connected with or materially contributed to the commission of the offences, are relevant to the assessment of the objective criminality of the offending.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<p><a href="#">SF [2022] NSWCCA 216</a></p> <p>(Ierace J, Simpson AJA and Hamill J agreeing)</p> <p><i>Drug and criminal group offences – re-sentence after finding of error on appeal - use of extract from Bugmy Bar Book "COVID-19: Risks and Impacts for Prisoners and Communities" chapter</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• After finding error at first instance appeal court took into account on re-sentence affidavit from appellant detailing adverse conditions of imprisonment under Covid-19 conditions – further took into account affidavit from appellant's solicitor describing observation of adverse changes to demeanour of appellant and extract from <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b> "COVID-19: Risks and Impacts for Prisoners and Communities" chapter: <b>at [122]-[126]</b></li> <li>• Finding made of special circumstances based on need for treatment for mental health issues and continuing impact of Covid-19 pandemic on conditions of incarceration - aggregate non-parole period reduced on re-sentence: <b>at [129]</b></li> </ul>

<p><a href="#">Wood [2022] NSWCCA 84</a></p> <p>(Dhanji J, Macfarlan JA and Adamson J agreeing)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Court below received <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b> material in relation to the co-accused on sentence: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>[27] The defence ... also relied on two chapters of the Bugmy Bar Book prepared by the Bugmy Bar Book Committee, titled “Childhood Sexual Abuse” and “Early Exposure to Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse”, which outline the effects of these experiences in the context of the criminal justice system.</li> </ul> </li> <li>The <i>Bugmy</i> principles were found to apply to both accused. The appeal was allowed on the basis of parity.</li> </ul>
<p><a href="#">Donovan [2021] NSWCCA 323</a></p> <p>(Ierace J, Simpson AJA and Rothman J agreeing)</p> <p><i>Recklessly inflict GBH – chapter from Bugmy Bar Book marked for identification on sentence – relevance of childhood exposure to violence and abuse to offences</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Aboriginal offender aged 19 years involved in sustained assault on two males in car park – detail of childhood trauma set out in psychologist report including violence, exposure to substance abuse and parental incarceration, homelessness and poor educational engagement – aggravated by mother’s mental health and sister’s serious illness – resulted in unresolved complex developmental trauma: <b>at [33]-[42]</b></li> <li>Chapter of <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b> titled “Childhood Exposure to Domestic and Family Violence” tendered on sentence in the proceedings below and marked for identification: <b>at [32]</b></li> <li>The sentencing Judge erred in rejecting <i>Bugmy</i> submissions on basis applicant’s prior good character and demonstrated ability to ‘rise above’ disadvantage – ignored evidence of psychologist report identifying link between applicant’s childhood exposure to violence, abuse and other childhood stresses and the offences: <b>at [84]-[90]</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>[88] Ms Edwige found a nexus between the applicant’s childhood trauma and his current mental health; his high anxiety level, emotional detachment, immaturity, his difficulties in interpersonal relating and his poor self-esteem.</li> <li>[90] I accept the expert opinion of Ms Edwige as to manner in which the applicant’s childhood trauma has affected his development, behaviour and capacity to relate interpersonally, which in turn informed his decision-making and reactions in his commission of the offences and his ingestion of drugs and alcohol beforehand. For those reasons, the applicant’s moral culpability is reduced, warranting a reduction to be made to the application of general deterrence.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<p><a href="#">Harris [2021] NSWCCA 322</a></p> <p>(Dhanji J, Simpson AJA and Ierace J agreeing)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Court below received a <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b> chapter included in the defence tender bundle on sentence – referred to at <b>[20]</b></li> </ul>

NSW Supreme Court	
<p><a href="#">Woods; Hayes; McLachlan; Harding; Farnsworth (No 2) [2024] NSWSC 545</a></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sentence imposed for murder – referred to body of research from Bugmy Bar Book: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>[254] One of the bodies of research referred to in the <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b> is the <b>Final Report of the Royal Commission into Institutional Responses to Child Sexual Abuse</b>. The Commission explained:</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

<p>(Yehia J)</p> <p><i>Murder - reference to research cited to in Bugmy Bar Book chapter on Childhood Sexual Abuse</i></p>	<p>“When a child is sexually abused, the effects can be devastating. For many, the impacts of the abuse last for their whole lives. When the abuse occurs in an institution and the institutional response is inadequate, victims can experience additional adverse impacts. While each person’s story is unique, for many victims the abuse has created a complex constellation of mental health symptoms and associated negative outcomes that have changed their lives profoundly. The abuse can reach into all areas of the victim’s life: mental health; interpersonal relationships; physical health; sexual identity; gender identity and sexual behaviour; connection to culture; spirituality; interactions with society; and education employment and economic security.”</p>
<p><a href="#">Bidner [2023] NSWSC 880</a></p> <p>(Wilson J)</p> <p><i>Murder – use of chapters from Bugmy Bar Book project – importance of linking material to evidence of offender’s situation</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Offender’s case included three chapters from the “<b>Bar Book Project</b>” - “Childhood Exposure to Domestic and Family Violence”, “Incarceration of a Parent or Caregiver”, and “Early Exposure to Alcohol and other Drug Abuse”: <b>at [56]</b></li> </ul> <p>[60] The offender tendered a large volume of material in his case, including whole chapters of a publication. The text extracts are generic and of little real assistance, unless information in them can be directly tied by evidence to the offender’s situation. In the offender’s case, making that link depends largely upon acceptance of the offender’s untested and unsworn, and frequently contradictory, account of his own circumstances.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Found offender unreliable historian and evidence of background contradictory</li> </ul>
<p><a href="#">GW [2023] NSWSC 664</a></p> <p>(Yehia J)</p> <p><i>Application for bail – juvenile offender – importance of comprehensive bail support plan to address multiple and complex needs in community – policy of Youth Justice where no guilty plea – reference to research and reports including Wellbeing, Healing and Rehabilitation Report from Bugmy Bar Book</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Application for bail by 11 year old Aboriginal male with 59 outstanding charges – multiple and complex needs – previously declined to engage with support programs – remanded to Youth Justice Centre when previously refused bail</li> <li>Consideration of Youth Justice policy to provide voluntary bail support not mandatory bail supervision where no guilty plea – policy designed to reduce criminalisation of non-compliance with supervision – importance of ensuring bail support still comprehensive and co-ordinated: <b>at [30]-[42]</b></li> <li>Emphasis on importance of providing children who have multiplicity of complex needs with support, supervision, and guidance in the community as opposed to detaining them in custody – referred to supporting research material</li> </ul> <p>[43] Ensuring that the community is protected against antisocial and criminal behaviour requires more than simply locking up an 11-year-old. What is required is an intensive and coordinated approach. In the case of an Indigenous child, it requires referral to culturally appropriate programs, ideally managed and staffed by Indigenous caseworkers. The significance of culture to well-being, healing and rehabilitation, has been recognised and there is little doubt that “conceptualisations of wellbeing, and therefore efforts for healing and rehabilitation, are intrinsically tied to culture, with Indigenous perspectives of well-being and healing reflecting holistic worldviews that consider connections between physical, social and emotional well-being, individual and collective wellbeing, and the impact of social political and historical factors”. [1]</p> <p>[44] The findings of the Royal Commission and Board of Inquiry into the Protection and Detention of Children in the Northern Territory [2] indicted that incarcerated children and young people are more likely to have</p>

	<p>experienced poor physical and mental health and disproportionately higher levels of disadvantage than the general population. Accordingly, their health needs may be greater than those of children and young people in noncustodial settings. The report also noted that children and young people in detention are a particularly vulnerable group. They have varying and complex needs and behaviours. Many have come from crisis situations or a background of disadvantage, have experienced trauma or have a range of health issues. Detention itself may give rise to trauma, which may compound already highly complex behaviours and needs. To address the needs of these children and young people effectively, underlying issues need to be recognised and properly addressed and treated. Research shows that threatening and punitive interactions, incarceration, and punishment escalate the aggressive behaviour of troubled youth. [3]</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Vanessa Edwidge and Dr Paul Gray, <b>Significance of Culture to Wellbeing, Healing and Rehabilitation</b> (June 2021) at 5.</li> <li>2. <b>Final Report of the Royal Commission and Board of Inquiry into the Protection and Detention of Children in the Northern Territory</b> (17 November 2017).</li> <li>3. <b>A Safer Northern Territory through Correctional Interventions: Summary Report of the Review of the Northern Territory Department of Correctional Services</b> (31 July 2016).</li> </ol> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In this case satisfied “bail support” plan sufficiently comprehensive and ameliorates risks – release application granted: <b>at [46], [54]</b></li> </ul>
<p><a href="#">NH [2023] NSWSC 428</a> (Yehia SC) <i>Murder - reference to research cited to in Bugmy Bar Book chapter on Childhood Sexual Abuse</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sentence imposed for murder – found offender’s experience of childhood sexual abuse relevant to adverse mental health, social, interpersonal, physical, and behavioural consequences – referred to research material in <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b> from <i>Royal Commission into Institutional Responses to Child Sexual Abuse</i> and <i>Australian Institute of Family Studies</i> on impact of childhood sexual abuse: <b>at [140]-[149]</b></li> </ul>
<p><a href="#">Knight [2023] NSWSC 321</a> (Yehia J) <i>Murder – sentencing for single stabbing of female partner – reference to Bugmy Bar Book chapter and Wellbeing, Healing and Rehabilitation Report</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence established offender’s childhood marked by deprivation and dysfunction – violence, poverty and exposure to substance abuse: <b>at [58]-[74]</b></li> <li>• Although no requirement for causal connection, unchallenged evidence established offender’s background of disadvantage and deprivation directly contributed to offence: <b>at [84]</b></li> <li>• Reference to 2021 Report, ‘<b>Significance of Culture to Rehabilitation and Wellbeing</b>’ by Ms Edwidge and Dr Gray on importance of culturally appropriate rehabilitation programs for indigenous offenders: <b>at [82]-[83]</b> – also reference to executive summary of <b>Bugmy Bar Book Chapter</b> on ‘Impacts of Imprisonment on Remand in Custody’: <b>at [104]</b></li> <li>• Finding of special circumstances based on real risk offender will become institutionalised, first time he will serve term of full-time imprisonment, risk at some point during imprisonment he may develop major depression and requirement of lengthy period on parole to assist</li> </ul>



	<p>with readjusting to life in community and accessing culturally appropriate rehabilitation programs: <b>at [103]-[107]</b></p>
<p><a href="#">Knight (No.1) [2023] NSWSC 195</a> (Yehia J) <i>Murder – application to hold sentence proceedings by video-link – importance of Indigenous cultural values and principles – reference to Bugmy Bar Book as resource assisting judicial officers in this respect</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Offender to be sentenced for murder of female partner – application to have offender appear for sentence proceedings by audio-visual link opposed by Crown - representations made by sisters of deceased that applicant should attend sentencing proceedings in-person and on country: <b>at [16]</b></li> <li>Importance of Indigenous cultural values and principles emphasized by Court: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>[20] It is important to commence by acknowledging that the importance of recognising Indigenous cultural values and principles is increasingly accepted in the criminal law in New South Wales and in Australia more broadly. For example, the Bugmy Bar Book is increasingly relied upon as a resource capable of assisting judicial officers in making informed decisions in a range of matters. Some chapters of the Bugmy Bar Book deal specifically with the impact of intergenerational trauma brought about by cultural dispossession, and the impact on members of the stolen generations and their descendants.</li> <li>[21] The Walama List provides a therapeutic approach to sentencing of Indigenous offenders and incorporates some elements of restorative justice. The Sentencing Conversations are led by Elders and Respected Persons who provide essential cultural perspectives, values, and principles. The process acknowledges the importance of cultural authority to healing.</li> <li>...</li> <li>[27] The Crown is to be commended for highlighting the importance of recognising Indigenous cultural values and principles in the criminal law. In an appropriate case where there is sufficient evidence, it may be wholly appropriate that cultural values and principles would dictate that a direction is made for an offender to appear in-person at sentencing proceedings.</li> </ul> </li> <li>In this case not persuaded in-person attendance would better fulfil purpose of sentencing where proceedings will not be conducted pursuant to restorative justice model: <b>at [25]</b></li> </ul>
<p><a href="#">Stanley (No.2) [2023] NSWSC 74</a> (Lonergan J) <i>Manslaughter – Adoption of remarks in Tsingolas on value of research on impact of childhood deprivation and exposure to violence</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sentence imposed for manslaughter – Crown identified relevant <i>Bugmy</i> considerations including exposure to violence and substance abuse: <b>at [73]</b></li> <li>Adopted observations by Yehia SC DCJ (as her Honour then was) in <b>Tsingolas [2022] NSWDC 34 at [87] to [90]</b> as to value, role and use of research on impact of childhood deprivation and exposure to violence: <b>at [72]</b></li> <li>Offender’s moral culpability reduced although balanced with element of general and specific deterrence: <b>at [75]</b></li> </ul>
<p><a href="#">Vincent [2023] NSWSC 8</a> (Yehia J)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Application for release by Aboriginal woman charged with stalking and intimidation and being armed with intent</li> </ul>

<p><i>Bail application – Reference to Bugmy Bar Book Chapter dealing with Impact of Incarceration</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reliance placed on research from <b>Bugmy Bar Book Chapter: ‘Impacts of Imprisonment and Remand in Custody’</b> to show negative impacts on the physical and mental health of incarcerated individuals which persist after release: <b>at [16]</b></li> <li>• Negative impacts include loss of housing, barriers to employment, significant negative impacts on families and communities, loss of culture and disconnection from Country and community, increased risk of subsequent contact with the criminal justice system and increased risk of self harm and depression: <b>at [16]-[21]</b></li> <li>• Research further shows impacts more pronounced for Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander Peoples, particularly women: <b>at [17]</b></li> </ul> <p>[22] The Bugmy Bar Book research is a useful resource which has assisted the Court in understanding the impact of remand in custody, even for short periods. The research lends context to the material that has been tendered on behalf of the applicant which relates directly to her individual circumstances and background. The impact of incarceration on First Nations Peoples, and, in particular, First Nations women should never be under-estimated.</p>
<p><a href="#"><u>AN; LM; WD [2022] NSWSC 1272</u></a> (Walton J) <i>Sentence for specially aggravated kidnapping – reference to extracts from Bugmy Bar Book – childhood relevant to moral culpability and importance of rehabilitation</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence of WD’s deprived and disadvantaged childhood accepted by Crown – included parental neglect, disrupted schooling, exposure to domestic violence, exposure to parental substance abuse, early introduction to substance abuse and serious parental and family mental illness: <b>[256]-[259]</b></li> <li>• Court provided with summaries of research into impacts of childhood exposure to domestic and family violence; early exposure to alcohol and other drug use and interrupted school attendance derived from <b>Bugmy Bar Book: [261]</b></li> <li>• Referred to cases where deprived background found to reduce moral culpability, or at least to significantly mitigate sentence, for offences involving planning: <b>[264]</b></li> <li>• Offender’s childhood a crucial feature of his case and relevant to both assessment of moral culpability and need for rehabilitation: <b>[265]-[267]</b></li> </ul>
<p><a href="#"><u>Macdonald; Edward Obeid; Moses Obeid [2021] NSWSC 1662</u></a> (Wilson J) <i>Application for bail pending appeal against conviction for conspiracy to commit misuse of public office – reliance on chapter from Bugmy Bar Book on effect of Covid-19 on custodial conditions</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Kirby Institute Report and chapter from <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b> relied upon in documentary evidence: <b>[60]</b></li> </ul> <p>[60] A report from the Kirby Institute at the University of New South Wales dated 16 April 2020 discussed issues connected with the management of the condition in prisons, whilst an extract from the <i>Bugmy Bar Book</i> of 20 September 2021 considered the incidence of COVID-19 infection in the prison system, and the wider impact of the virus on prisoners.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Kirby Institute report ‘largely unhelpful, dating as it does from April 2020. The COVID-19 situation is fluid and much has changed since that time, including the availability of vaccines to both the general and prison population.’: <b>[127]</b></li> </ul>



<p><a href="#"><u>Macdonald; Edward Obeid; Moses Obeid (No 18) [2021] NSWSC 1343</u></a></p> <p>(Fullerton J)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sentence for offence of conspiracy to commit misuse of public office –</li> <li>• Reliance upon Kirby Institute Report on COVID-19 and the Impact on New South Wales Prisoners (April 2020) and <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b> chapter titled ‘COVID-19: Risks and Impacts for Prisoners and Communities’: [116]-[121]</li> <li>• Further considered evidence from NSW Corrective Services as to current practices in relation to Covid-19 in correctional centres: at [122]-[132]</li> <li>• Concluded increased risk in view of offenders’ age and premorbid chronic health conditions relevant to finding of special circumstances: at [149]-[150]</li> </ul>
---	--

ACT Supreme Court	
<p><a href="#"><u>DPP v Chatfield [2024] ACTSC 329</u></a></p> <p>(Taylor J)</p> <p><i>Offences of violence – circle sentencing list</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Psychologist report cited <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b>, Childhood Exposure to Domestic and Family Violence and observed “there has been mounting empirical evidence of the effects of exposure to domestic and family violence on children’s development, and a growing recognition of the ways these harms can manifest in intergenerational cycles of trauma, violence and disadvantage”: at [92]</li> <li>• Psychologist confirmed that which the Elders panel reiterated, and that is the strength to be found in the offender’s cultural identity and connectedness to culture, citing <b>Significance of Culture to Wellbeing, Healing and Rehabilitation</b> (Dr Paul Gray and Vanessa Edwige, June 2021) at [124]</li> </ul>
<p><a href="#"><u>DPP v Coulter [2024] ACTSC 262</u></a></p> <p>(Taylor J)</p> <p><i>Driving offences - reference to Bugmy Bar Book chapters and Wellbeing Report</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Psychologist report referred to <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b>, Childhood Exposure to Domestic and Family Violence (November 2019) and <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b>, Impacts of Imprisonment and Remand in Custody (November 2022) : at [54], [81]</li> <li>• Sentencing Judge referred to <b>Wellbeing Report</b> in noting importance of culture, kin, community and country: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>[82] Ms Edwige recorded what I know to be true and that is the inextricable link for Aboriginal people between our overall wellbeing and our sense of self experienced through our expression of cultural identity and our connectedness to kin, community, and country. For the offender, as a Yuin man, this factor could be a significant source of strength if he is given the opportunity to access services that promote his healing at the same time as reinforcing the strength to be found in his connectedness to our culture. (see Significance of Culture to Wellbeing, Healing and Rehabilitation, Dr Paul Gray and Vanessa Edwige, June 2021).</li> </ul> </li> <li>• <i>Bugmy</i> factors given full weight: at [70]-[71]</li> </ul>
<p><a href="#"><u>DPP v Hogan [2024] ACTSC 245</u></a></p> <p>(Hopkins AJ)</p> <p><i>Serious family violence offences - reference to</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Observed importance of connection to community and culture to offender’s long-term healing and rehabilitation citing Vanessa Edwige and Paul Gray, <b>Significance of Culture to Wellbeing, Healing and Rehabilitation</b> (Report commissioned by the Bugmy Bar Book Committee, June 2021: at [73]</li> </ul>

<p><i>Wellbeing, Healing and Rehabilitation Report</i></p>	
<p><a href="#"><u>DPP v Tony Bravo (a pseudonym) [2024] ACTSC 221</u></a> (Loukas-Karlsson J) <i>Multiple child sexual assault offences - Bugmy Bar Book referred to in psychologist report tendered on sentence</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Psychologist report tendered on behalf of offender noted review of contents of <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b>: at [169]</li> <li>Report further referred to <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b> in concluding: at [173] [The offender] reported a history which indicated that he experienced a high degree of disadvantage and deprivation due to childhood sexual abuse, multiple occasions of early exposure to family violence by multiple partners, early exposure to alcohol and drug abuse by his mother’s various partners (as well as getting marijuana from his mother during his mid-teens). These issues have been reviewed earlier in this report and are all considerations noted by the Bugmy Bar Book as resulting in intergenerational cycles of trauma, violence and disadvantage. Further, the Bugmy Bar Book has noted that there is a “robust body of research evidence (which) clearly demonstrates the link between child sexual abuse and a spectrum of adverse mental health, social, sexual, interpersonal and behavioural as well as physical health consequences.</li> </ul>
<p><a href="#"><u>DPP v Welsh (No.3) [2024] ACTSC 179</u></a> (Baker J) <i>Burglary and motor vehicle offences - reference to Significance of Culture to Wellbeing Healing and Rehabilitation Report</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Evidence of successful engagement in formal rehabilitation program justified suspended sentence for serious offences [65] The role of the Glen program in assisting Mr Welsh must also be acknowledged. Culturally sensitive programs such as the Glen can be of immense importance: see <b>Vanessa Edwige and Dr Paul Gray, Significance of Culture to Wellbeing Healing and Rehabilitation (Report, Public Defenders (NSW), The Bugmy Bar Book Project, June 2021)</b>, 19 – 40. As that report notes, “strong cultural supports and programs in communities that help facilitate the building of healthy communities ‘will facilitate the successful reintegration of Indigenous offenders once they leave custody, while also reducing the likelihood of Indigenous people commencing a criminal trajectory’” (at 32 [130]). As Mr Welsh explained, it was “the whole experience” of the Glen program which has been so critical to his rehabilitation. Note: see earlier related judgment below</li> </ul>
<p><a href="#"><u>DPP v Hammond (No 2) [2024] ACTSC 177</u></a> (Hopkins AJ) <i>Domestic violence offences - Bugmy Bar Book referred to on sentence</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Imposition of sentence after cancellation of Treatment Order</li> <li>Considered impact of exposure to family violence as a child: [27] In determining the appropriate non-parole period, weight must be given to the lines of force that shaped your life as a child that continue to impact you today. The pre-sentence report prepared for your original sentence, dated 22 June 2023, records that as a child you were exposed to family violence both as a witness and a victim. You had experiences no child should have to face. This exposure and the lack of safety and stability you experienced as a child is key to understanding your challenges with addiction and your resort to violence: <i>Bugmy</i> [2013] HCA 37; 249 CLR 571 (Bugmy) at [44]; see generally, Bugmy Bar Book Committee (eds), ‘Childhood Exposure to, and Experience of, Domestic and Family Violence’, Bugmy Bar Book (May 2024) at [36]-[44].</li> </ul>
<p><a href="#"><u>DPP v Hudson [2024] ACTSC 159</u></a></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sentencing of Aboriginal man for family violence offences [60] I do not know whether connection to culture is important to you. If it is important to you, then you should know that strengthening this connection</li> </ul>

<p>(Hopkins AJ)</p> <p><i>Family violence offences – reference to Significance of Culture to Wellbeing, Healing and Rehabilitation Report and Bugmy Bar Book chapters</i></p>	<p>may be beneficial to your wellbeing, healing, and rehabilitation: <b>Vanessa Edwige and Paul Gray, Significance of Culture to Wellbeing, Healing and Rehabilitation (Report, June 2021).</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Significantly disadvantaged childhood including exposure to heroin abuse of mother: <p>[61] ... Research establishes “that children in families with parental or carer substance abuse are at greater risk of a range of adverse developmental outcomes”. It “may increase the likelihood of children being substance misusers themselves and being involved in the juvenile justice system”: <b>Bugmy Bar Book Project Committee (eds), ‘Early Exposure to Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse’ Bugmy Bar Book (November 2019).</b></p> </li> <li>Disrupted schooling is significant disadvantage: <b>Bugmy Bar Book Project Committee (eds), ‘Interrupted School Attendance and Suspension’ Bugmy Bar Book (October 2023)</b></li> <li>Drug and Alcohol Treatment Order imposed</li> </ul>
<p><a href="#"><u>DPP v Smith [2024] ACTSC 146</u></a></p> <p>(Hopkins AJ)</p> <p><i>Robbery and assault offences - reference to Significance of Culture to Wellbeing, Healing and Rehabilitation Report</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Aboriginal man with traumatic childhood including exposure to violence and substance abuse – complex mental health and substance abuse issues</li> <li>Noted working with Aboriginal Liaison Officer at prison to establish culturally appropriate support in community <p>[83] Strengthening your connection to community and culture through working with these services may well be central to your long-term healing and rehabilitation: see <b>Vanessa Edwige and Paul Gray, Significance of Culture to Wellbeing, Healing and Rehabilitation (Report commissioned by the Bugmy Bar Book Committee, June 2021).</b></p> </li> <li>Sentence of imprisonment with non-parole period imposed to ensure release plans in place and appropriate conditions for supervision and support established.</li> </ul>
<p><a href="#"><u>DPP v Parker [2024] ACTSC 125</u></a></p> <p>(Baker J)</p> <p><i>Violence and dishonesty offence – relevance of background of domestic violence</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Accepted disadvantaged background including exposure to violence and neglect, homelessness, interrupted education and early substance abuse - referred to Yehia DCJ (as her Honour then was) in <b>Maier [2021] NSWDC 80 at [91]</b> and the chapter “Childhood Exposure to Domestic and Family Violence” in Public Defenders (NSW), <b>The Bugmy Bar Book Project</b> (online, November 2019) in relation to the impact of exposure to violence: <b>at [60]</b></li> <li>Moral culpability reduced to some extent by reason of background although community protection remained significant: <b>at [62]</b></li> </ul>
<p><a href="#"><u>DPP v Myers (a pseudonym) (No 5) [2024] ACTSC 109</u></a></p> <p>(Baker J)</p> <p><i>Aggravated robbery - Bugmy Bar Book</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Imposition of sentence after breach of suspended sentence</li> <li>17y Aboriginal child with childhood history of domestic violence, exposure to substance abuse, incarceration of father and interrupted schooling: <p>[23] ... As Vanessa Edwige, psychologist, observed in her psychological report prepared in relation to the original offence, the effects of these experiences on a child’s social and emotional wellbeing are well-documented: Public Defenders (NSW), The Bugmy Bar Book Project</p> </li> </ul>

<p>referred to on sentence</p>	<p>(online, last updated March 2024). As Ms Edwidge explained, the young person was “not privy to the protective factors that enhance a child’s wellbeing”.</p>
<p><a href="#">DPP v Druett [2024] ACTSC 56</a> (Loukas-Karlsson J) <i>Driving offences - Bugmy Bar Book referred to on sentence</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Took into account on sentence significant childhood disadvantage.</li> <li>• Further took into account impact of offender’s incarceration on his relationship to his children</li> </ul> <p>[64] I further note it was a choice of the offender not to expose his young children to a custodial setting through visits and knowledge that he is in prison. Consequently he has not seen his children for a number of months. I note the negative effects of parental incarceration identified in the Bugmy Bar Book:</p> <p>Parental incarceration may interfere with the attachment relationship between a child and their parent or caregiver, cause financial hardship, disrupt care and living arrangements, and subject children to stigmatisation and shame. This may impact upon a child’s emotional, behavioural, and psychological development, educational performance, delinquency and risk of offending.</p>
<p><a href="#">DPP v Sims [2024] ACTSC 49</a> (Hopkins J) <i>Aggravated robbery and forcible confinement - Bugmy Bar Book referred to on sentence</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Referred to offender’s childhood trauma commenting:</li> </ul> <p>[54] ... The relationship between child sexual abuse and the development of addiction as a consequence of using illicit substances to manage psychological trauma are now well understood: Royal Commission into Institutional Responses to Child Sexual Abuse, <i>Final Report</i> (2017) vol 3, 11 cited in Bugmy Bar Book Committee, ‘Child Sexual Abuse’ in <i>The Bugmy Bar Book Project</i> (Sydney NSW; The Public Defenders; November 2019).</p>
<p><a href="#">Burge (No.2) [2024] ACTSC 20</a> (Christiensen AJ) <i>Re-sentence after cancellation of Drug and Alcohol Treatment Order – reference to Bugmy Bar Book Chapter Early Exposure to Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Offender’s background of exposure to family violence and substance abuse explains to some degree offending behaviour such that moral culpability reduced: <b>at [63]</b></li> <li>• Conclusion supported by reference to judicial notice taken by sentencing judge in <i>Dunn [2023]</i> NSW CCA 1 of <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b> chapter on ‘Early Exposure to Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse’ and 2007 House of Representatives Standing Committee on Family and Human Services Inquiry into the Impact of Illicit Drug Use on Families: <b>at [64]-[65]</b></li> </ul>
<p><a href="#">DPP v Welsh (No.2) [2023] ACTSC 347</a> (Baker J) <i>Burglary and motor vehicle offences - reference to Significance of Culture to Wellbeing, Healing and Rehabilitation Report</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ordered Griffith remand to permit offender to continue in rehabilitation program prior to final sentence in light of positive engagement</li> </ul> <p>[10] The material from the Glen is very positive. The letter of 23 October 2023 addresses the offender’s Indigenous heritage, and notes that the offender has spent time with therapists and completed “cultural healing courses” which have “allowed him to reconnect back with his spirit and culture.” As <b>Vanessa Edwige and Dr Paul Gray report in Significance of Culture to Wellbeing, Healing and Rehabilitation (Report commissioned by the Bugmy Bar Book Committee, Public Defenders New South Wales, 2021)</b>, connection to culture is a powerful protective factor that promotes resilience, self-esteem, self-worth and ultimately, rehabilitation (see especially at [212] – [214]). See similarly <i>HA (a</i></p>

	<p><i>pseudonym) v the Queen</i> [2021] VSCA 64 at [58] – [59], where the Court noted that “[r]econnection of an Aboriginal offender with culture and Country can constitute a pivotal factor diverting such a person from entrenched offending behaviour”.</p> <p>Note: see final judgment above</p>
<p><a href="#">Palmer v ACT (No.2) [2023] ACTSC 340</a> (McCallum CJ)</p> <p><i>Claim for damages – referred to inquiry cited in Bugmy Bar Book chapter on impacts of imprisonment</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Civil matter – claim for damages for assault and battery – prisoner assaulted by correctional officers – whether use of force necessary and reasonable</li> <li>• In description of difficult conditions at time of alleged assault referred to inquiry cited in chapter of <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b>: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>[8] There was evidence that one of the prisoners kept in the overflow area had severe mental health issues and was at times at significant risk of self-harm, while another had refused to return to his cell in the overflow area earlier that day. Custodial isolation and overcrowding risks exacerbating mental illness, as noted in the Inquiry into Victoria’s Criminal Justice System (2022) at pg 594 (cited in the Bugmy Bar Book chapter on “Impacts of Imprisonment and Remand in Custody”): <p>Practices such as use of isolation, restricting visits from family and friends, overcrowding, poor access to health services and programs, and negative interactions with correctional officers have a significant impact upon mental health. The psychiatric impacts of prison are particularly acute for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people. For example, Aboriginal women in prison are hospitalised for mental illness at triple the rate of Aboriginal women in the community.</p> </li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<p><a href="#">DPP v Stewart [2023] ACTSC 252</a> (McWilliam J)</p> <p><i>Sentencing for property, fraud and firearm offences – reference to chapter from Bugmy Bar Book to support impact of childhood</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Offender’s childhood included exposure to violence and substance abuse and instability.</li> <li>• Accepted background likely to have impacted lack of emotional regulation and decision-making: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>[77] ... Such impacts are well documented: Bugmy Bar Book Committee, ‘Childhood Exposure to Domestic &amp; Family Violence’ in <i>The Bar Book Project</i> (Sydney, NSW, The Public Defenders, 2019) at 4-8’.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Offender’s social deprivation and historical family violence does not excuse offending itself but some weight given in reducing moral culpability: <b>at [79]</b></li> </ul> <p>See also</p> <p><a href="#">DPP v Jewell [2023] ACTSC 348</a> (McWilliam J) at [75]</p> <p><a href="#">DPP v Houghton [2024] ACTSC 68</a> (McWilliam J) at [21]</p>
<p><a href="#">Horner [2023] ACTSC 23</a> (Baker J)</p> <p><i>Sentencing for Commonwealth child pornography offences – clear link established</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Offender from disadvantaged childhood including family violence, trauma and neglect, out of home care, sexual abuse and exposure to adult pornography</li> <li>• Psychologist report clearly identified and explained link between offender’s Post Traumatic Stress Disorder resulting from childhood sexual abuse and the offences: <b>at [25]-[28]</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>[28] There is now a “robust body of research evidence” that “clearly demonstrates the link between child sexual abuse and a spectrum of</li> </ul> </li> </ul>



<p><i>between childhood sexual abuse, mental illness and offending – reference to helpful excerpts from <a href="#">Bugmy Bar Book Childhood Sexual Abuse</a></i></p>	<p>adverse mental health, social, sexual, interpersonal and behavioural as well as physical health consequences”: The <i>Bugmy Bar Book Project, Childhood Sexual Abuse</i>, at p. 1.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Moral culpability reduced to a moderate degree: <b>at [29]</b> - offender’s background of childhood sexual and physical abuse, including abuse while in an institution and mental illness part of exceptional circumstances permitting immediate release to recognisance without serving custodial sentence: <b>at [46]</b></li> </ul>
<p><a href="#">Ruwhiu [2023] ACTCA 18</a></p> <p>(Loukas-Karlsson J; Baker J agreeing in separate judgement; Rangiah J agreeing)</p> <p><i>Burglary and robbery – Crown appeal against sentence – reference to Bugmy Bar Book chapter Early Exposure to Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Crown appeal against sentence dismissed – sentence not manifestly inadequate</li> <li>• <b>Bugmy</b> principles applied at first instance to reduce moral culpability: see <b>Ruwhiu [2022] ACTSC 290</b> (Refshauge AJ) below - affirmed on appeal – background of domestic violence, exposure to alcohol abuse and disrupted schooling: <b>at [38]; [124]</b></li> <li>• Per Baker J: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>[127] The link between childhood exposure to domestic violence and alcohol abuse and offending is well documented: see the ‘Early Exposure to Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse’ Chapter of The Bar Book Project (Bugmy Bar Book Committee), which was tendered by the respondent’s counsel in the sentencing proceedings at first instance.</li> <li>[128] It was to the respondent’s credit that he was able, with apparently little support, to overcome his background and become a law-abiding and productive member of society for over two decades. However, the respondent’s childhood was not erased when he overcame his first addictions and commenced employment as a forester. His background remained an important part of his “make-up” which was relevant to all aspects of the sentencing discretion: <i>Bugmy</i> (No 2) at [43]. In particular, as the sentencing judge found, when the respondent lost the structure and financial wellbeing that his employment provided during the COVID-19 pandemic, “he did not have the supports and childhood formation to avoid the unravelling of his life, leading to drug use and crime”. For these reasons, there was a connection between the respondent’s disadvantaged background and the offending.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<p><a href="#">R v Hagen [2022] ACTSC 362</a></p> <p>(Baker J)</p> <p><i>Aggravated burglary and AOABH - reference to paper from Bugmy Bar Book Project</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In discussion on divergent views in relation to causal connection between disadvantaged background and offending referred to relevant cases and <b>The Bugmy Bar Book Project: Presenting Evidence of Disadvantage and Evidence Concerning the Significance of Culture on Sentence</b> - Judge Sophia Beckett (October 2021): <b>at [38]-[42]</b></li> </ul>
<p><a href="#">Ruwhiu [2022] ACTSC 290</a></p> <p>(Refshauge AJ)</p> <p><i>Sentencing for burglary and robbery –</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Offender Māori with disadvantaged childhood including family violence, exposure to alcohol abuse and disruption to education</li> <li>• Counsel provided “very helpful excerpts from “The Bar Book Project” (<b>Bugmy Bar Book Committee, ‘Early Exposure to Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse’</b> in The Bar Book Project (Sydney, NSW; The Public Defenders, 2019)) on the effects of early exposure to alcohol and other drug abuse and on the effects of childhood exposure to</li> </ul>



<p><i>helpful excerpts from Bugmy Bar Book</i></p>	<p>domestic and family violence, which have been helpful and taken into account”: at [73], [87]</p>
<p><a href="#"><u>R v Collier (No.2) [2021] ACTSC 177</u></a> (Lokas-Karlsson J) <i>Aggravated burglary - Bugmy Bar Book chapter handed up on sentence</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Counsel handed up <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b> Early Exposure to Alcohol and Other Drugs chapter ‘in support of the proposition that children of parents who misuse alcohol and drugs are at greater risk of subsequently developing alcohol and other drug problems themselves’: at [57]</li> </ul>
<p><a href="#"><u>BS-X [2021] ACTSC 160</u></a> (Loukas-Karlsson J) <i>Motor vehicle and burglary offences – juvenile Aboriginal offender with severe childhood trauma – individual report supported by references to Bugmy Bar Book chapters and Significance of Culture to Wellbeing, Healing and Rehabilitation Report – application of Bugmy principles</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Psychological report described 15y old Wiradjuri man with complex developmental trauma – born to drug addicted 15y mother and removed into non-indigenous foster care at 12 months – exposed to mother’s drug use throughout life – experienced younger brother’s removal from mother’s care and placement with different carer due to mother’s drug use – early substance abuse – difficult schooling period – disconnection with cultural identity - multiple significant losses and grief – externalised grief, loss and anger through maladaptive techniques – profound trauma resulting in mental health and behavioural issues</li> <li>• Psychological report supported by references to multiple <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b> chapters: at [56], [58], [62], [63]</li> <li>• Further reference to <b>Significance of Culture to Wellbeing, Healing and Rehabilitation Report</b> with emphasis on importance of culturally appropriate treatment to facilitate rehabilitation – importance of individual rehabilitation to both individual and community protection: [81]-[85]</li> <li>• Reference to comment in <b>Hoskins [2021] NSWCCA 165</b> that childhood deprivation does not need to be profound [81]-[85]</li> <li>• Application of <b>Bugmy</b> principles</li> </ul>

VIC Supreme Court	
<p><a href="#"><u>Re Males [2024] VSC 802</u></a> (Incerti J) <i>Bail application – reference to Bugmy Bar Book chapters on impact of imprisonment</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Application for bail by Aboriginal woman charged with drug offences</li> <li>• In considering whether exceptional circumstances justified bail took into account impact of incarceration of applicant on her children - referred to two chapters from <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b>: ‘Impacts of Imprisonment and Remand in Custody’ and ‘Incarceration of a Parent or Caregiver’.</li> </ul> <p>[45] What is equally clear is that incarceration has a lasting impact on the families and children of the offender. The incarceration of a parent may impact negatively on the physical and mental health of the children of an offender, their development, education and employment outcomes. I reject the submission that Ms Males’ children are doing fine while their mother is on remand. The children of incarcerated persons are the ‘invisible victims’ of the criminal justice system. The parenting duties and the objective of keeping families together is a significant factor in any</p>

	<p>application. When I consider the historic separation of Aboriginal families, along with Ms Males' own difficult family upbringing, I see no good reason to contribute to her continued incarceration at this stage. I therefore consider that there are exceptional circumstances justifying the grant of bail.</p>
<p><a href="#">Re McLaughlin [2024] VSC 706</a></p> <p>(Incerti J)</p> <p><i>Bail application – requirement to take into account issues related to applicant’s Aboriginality – Bugmy Bar Book researched material</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Application for bail by Aboriginal woman charged with multiple violence offences – considered requirement under s.3A <i>Bail Act</i> 1977 (Vic) to take into account issues related to applicant’s Aboriginality.</li> <li>• Referred to carefully researched materials in <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b>: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>[43] The consideration of these factors is necessarily an involved process. It requires the decision maker to contemplate what the historic and ongoing overrepresentation of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people in custody means for the individual before them. It also requires the decision maker to contemplate the specific circumstances of the individual within the broader context of their cultural identity and to view their circumstances through that lens. The Bugmy Bar Book provides carefully researched materials that speak to the significance of this cultural identity on every aspect of the individual and their application.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Referred specifically to chapters ‘Impacts of Imprisonment and Remand in Custody’ and ‘Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Stolen Generations and Descendants’: <b>at [49]</b></li> </ul>
<p><a href="#">DPP v Gatwech-Chouil [2024] VSC 700</a></p> <p>(Incerti J)</p> <p><i>Manslaughter – refugee with violent childhood – reference to material in Refugee chapter</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Offender refugee from Sudan who spent ten years with family in refugee camp in Ethiopia – exposed to violence, deprivation and war related trauma.</li> <li>• Referred to material in Bugmy Bar Book Committee, ‘Refugee Background’ in <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b> (online, July 2021): <b>at [36]</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>[37] ... The literature makes it very clear that violence is endemic within the experience of refugee families as they make their way to Australia (and beyond). For that reason, despite the absence of more recent material to demonstrate the specific impact of your upbringing on your offending, I consider that your moral culpability is modestly reduced by your childhood experiences.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

### NSW District Court

<p><a href="#">Scroop [2024] NSWDC 515</a></p> <p>(Haesler SC DCJ)</p> <p><i>Domestic violence offences - reference to chapter on Impact of Incarceration from Bugmy Bar Book</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sentencing of offender for domestic violence offences – offender spent thirty of previous thirty three years in custody: <b>at [30]</b></li> <li>• Cited “Impacts of Imprisonment and Remand in Custody” (2022) <b>The Bugmy Bar Book Project</b> in comment on negative impact of imprisonment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>[33] Prison environments are extreme in many respects. They are physically and psychologically taxing. They impose rigid routines on offenders. They remove any capacity for individual decision making about aspects of the person’s ordinary life. Often, long terms of imprisonment lead to institutionalisation. This means that the longer a person spends in custody the less their ability to live independently and exercise personal responsibility. If a person has never lived a normal life in the community, it is very hard for them to learn how to lead a normal life on release. Prisoners existing and living in inherently violent environment learn to use aggression and violence, they lose self-worth, and they tend to blame others, and take out on others, their own failings.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
---	--

<p><a href="#">Gowans [2024] NSWDC 482</a></p> <p>(Haesler SC DCJ)</p> <p><i>Multiple offences including robbery and break and enter - reference to chapter on Impact of Incarceration from Bugmy Bar Book</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Noting reference to “Impacts of Imprisonment and Remand in Custody” (2022) The <b>Bugmy Bar Book Project</b> in psychologist report detailing evidence of childhood trauma, Sentencing Judge stated: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>[53] Gaol itself causes trauma. The community should understand that the longer people are locked in gaol the worse that they can become</li> <li>...</li> <li>[55] Sentencing Judges face a dilemma; community safety requires a person to be removed from the community. At the same time, by removing a person and keeping them in gaol can make them worse. This is particularly so for someone like Gowans who has underlying psychological, and trauma induced symptoms, as they have impaired judgment and are not rational decision makers.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<p><a href="#">Campbell [2024] NSWDC 413</a></p> <p>(Nielson DCJ)</p> <p><i>Drug and firearms offences - reference to Bugmy Bar Book on sentence</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Accepted relationship between childhood disadvantage, drug use and offending – noted that sections from <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b> referred to by defence counsel provided ‘generalities’ – specifics provided by psychologist report: <b>at [87]-[89]</b></li> </ul>
<p><a href="#">York [2024] NSWDC 327</a></p> <p>(Wilson SC DCJ)</p> <p><i>Multiple offences including use offensive weapon to prevent detention and arson - reference to Bugmy Bar Book on sentence</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Weight given on sentence to childhood deprivation – reference to <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b> material on consequences of interrupted schooling – stated evidence in this case demonstrated offender’s schooling was interrupted, although extent not made clear from evidence: <b>at [128]-[129]</b></li> </ul>
<p><a href="#">DG [2024] NSWDC 194</a></p> <p>(Anderson SC DCJ)</p> <p><i>Causing GBH to infant - material from Bugmy Bar Book tendered on sentence</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Imposition of sentence for mother causing GBH to infant child</li> <li>Took into account material tendered on sentence including substantial extracts from <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b>, psychologist report and transcript of interview between offender and defence counsel – established powerful subjective case based on childhood deprivation: <b>at [75]-[82]</b></li> </ul>

<p><a href="#">Lynch [2024] NSWDC 92</a></p> <p>(Newlinds SC DCJ)</p> <p><i>Violence and dishonesty offences – reference to chapter from Bugmy Bar Book project</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Disadvantaged background included sexual abuse while in care of DoCS</li> </ul> <p>[108] Whilst it is conceded that evidence from the Bugmy Bar Book is general in nature, it is relied upon by the offender to understand the opinions expressed by Ms Grujoska as to the offender’s childhood disadvantage and better explain the connection between the offender’s background and the offending.</p> <p>[109] The <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b> has found that childhood sexual abuse which I find the offender was subjected to, is a ‘substantial risk factor for the development of subsequent mental health problems’, which can include major depression, increased risk of alcohol and drug dependence, often as a means of coping with the psychological trauma of having been abused, aggressive behaviours and social anxiety (<b>The Bugmy Bar Book Project, ‘Childhood Sexual Abuse, ‘Executive Summary’ (November 2019).</b></p>
<p><a href="#">Murrell [2023] NSWDC 130</a></p> <p>(Norman SC DCJ)</p> <p><i>Multiple violence offences - reference to generic nature of statements in Bugmy Bar Book</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Declined to read tendered chapters of <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b> – generic nature of statement in the Bugmy Bar Book of association between abusive or sexually abusive background and development of psychological, behavioural and substance use issues ‘adds nothing’ - relied upon psychiatrist and psychologist reports detailing deprived childhood: <b>at [54]-[58]</b></li> </ul>
<p><a href="#">Obiekie; Garaty [2022] NSWDC 654</a></p> <p>(Fitzsimmons SC DCJ)</p> <p><i>Drug offences - reference to Refugee Background chapter from Bugmy Bar Book</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Referred to Refugee Background chapter in <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b> – while rejecting Obiekie’s account of traumatic childhood in Nigeria accepted challenges and disadvantages he would have experienced moving from Nigeria and South Africa and integrating into Australian society: <b>at [208]-[210]</b></li> </ul>
<p><a href="#">Copeland [2022] NSWDC 545</a></p> <p>(Fitzsimmons SC DCJ)</p> <p><i>Assault offence – reference to research from Bugmy Bar Book chapters in expert report – use of Executive Summaries as exhibits</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Offender a Gamilaroi women with significant childhood disadvantage – expert report cited research from <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b> chapters to support connection between ‘offender’s exposure to adverse childhood experiences, psychological health issues and offending’: <b>at [25]-[27]</b> – offender relied upon <b>Bugmy Bar Book Executive Summaries of Childhood Exposure to Domestic and Family Violence and Childhood Sexual Abuse</b> as exhibits: <b>at [32]</b></li> <li>Casual connection established and moral culpability reduced: <b>at [53]</b></li> </ul>

<p><a href="#">ZA [2022] NSWDC 403</a></p> <p>(Bright DCJ)</p> <p><i>Robbery and assault offences - material from Bugmy Bar Book referred to on sentence</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Extracts from <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b> tendered on sentence – in finding offender’s childhood of dysfunction, abuse and neglect justified a reduction in moral culpability and moderation of sentence Sentencing Judge set out consequences of childhood deprivation outlined to in these extracts: <b>at [56]-[58]</b></li> </ul> <p>[57] Some of the general consequences of childhood deprivation were outlined in the material tendered on behalf of the offender (Exhibits 4 to 9 being extracts of the Bugmy Bar Book), they include the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) That the effects of exposure to domestic violence on children's development can include impacts upon the child's behaviour, schooling, cognitive development and physical and mental wellbeing. Further, children who in early years are affected by exposure to domestic violence are vulnerable to developing long term mental health issues.</li> <li>(2) Early exposure to drug and alcohol misuse may increase the likelihood of children being substance users themselves and being involved in the juvenile justice system.</li> <li>(3) Interrupted schooling attendance can lead to lower educational outcomes which are a predictor of future contact with the criminal justice system. Low educational engagement has also been linked to poor health and wellbeing outcomes.</li> <li>(4) Hearing impairment can also impact upon an individual's language or behavioural development, leading to complex outcomes such as low education and attainment, social disruptions, and increased levels of dislocation, and increased levels of unemployment.</li> <li>(5) Criminal behaviour is closely associated with low socio-economic status.</li> </ol> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Referred to report prepared by the Kirby Institute, University of New South Wales, dated 16 April 2020, and extract from the <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b> in relation to the COVID-19 risks and impacts – took into account onerous custodial conditions on sentence: <b>at [64]-[66]</b></li> </ul>
<p><a href="#">Bennett [2022] NSWDC 321</a></p> <p>(Beckett DCJ)</p> <p><i>Sentencing for property offences – consideration of Wellbeing Report on importance of indigenous controlled organisations for rehabilitation – referenced chapter from Bugmy Bar Book on hearing impairment</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Aboriginal offender with significantly disadvantaged upbringing</li> <li>Referred to <b><i>Significance of Culture to Wellbeing, Healing and Rehabilitation report</i></b> and importance of culturally appropriate services in rehabilitation of indigenous offenders:</li> </ul> <p>[34] What is of particular significance is that the offender has apparently only recently had the dual support of two Indigenous controlled organisations, apparently working closely in cooperation with Community Corrections. He has clear motivation to not reoffend or return to drugs for the purposes of maintaining a positive relationship with his daughter, with the assistance of Kari Corporation. Recently, <i>The Significance of Culture to Wellbeing, Healing and Rehabilitation Report</i>, commissioned by the Bugmy Bar Book, has established the important role to be played by culturally appropriate services in the exercise of rehabilitating Indigenous offenders. The Report presents expert opinions, collates the findings of major reports, and draws from leading Indigenous scholarship to demonstrate the significance of culture for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people in promoting healing and rehabilitation. With respect to sentencing proceedings in criminal matters, the Report outlines the significant benefits of culturally appropriate treatment and care that provides for a connection to culture, family and community, in achieving those outcomes. The report relevantly states as follows [emphasis added]:</p> <p>[E]xisting data regarding the ongoing over-incarceration of Aboriginal and Torres Strait children and adults clearly demonstrates that ... current approaches are not adequate ... There are long-standing community concerns regarding ... [the]</p>

	<p>disproportionate impact on Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples and communities, including deaths in custody. The current evidence demonstrates that the ‘status quo’ approach is not effective or sustainable. ... this report highlights the significance of culture to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, and the importance of connecting to culture and culturally appropriate treatments to facilitate healing, including in a criminal justice setting. It highlights the importance of the social and emotional wellbeing framework for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people, the need to understand this holistic world view and the need to take into account both individual and social factors in how healing is promoted. <b>Finally, the report identifies culture as a strength that builds capacity in Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people, which is seen as a protective factor.</b></p> <p>[35] The Report recognises that approaches to rehabilitation which address systemic issues and disadvantage have far better outcomes for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people and communities and keep communities together. This includes discussion of intersections affecting people with disability, and families involved with child protection systems. In practical terms, rehabilitation for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people is enhanced by services that support individuals to build core capabilities within a culturally safe environment, including learning to recognise destructive behaviours, building coping skills, and forming supportive and pro-social relationships. These are all recognised as protective factors against re-offending. In <i>R v BS-X</i>, Justice Loukas-Karlsson made extensive reference to this report, noting its relevance to the evidence in that case in the application of principles of <i>Bugmy v The Queen</i>. (citations omitted)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Found offender’s prospects of rehabilitation not unfavourable in light of significant culturally appropriate supports available on his release: <b>[36]</b></li> <li>• Referred to material in <b>Hearing Impairment</b> chapter of <b>Bugmy Bar Book: [39]-[42]</b></li> <li>• Concluded deprived childhood relevant to reduction of moral culpability – hearing impairment will make custodial conditions more difficult:</li> </ul> <p>[44] As a result of this finding, the role to be played by general deterrence on sentence is diminished to a degree, as is the weight to be given to other purposes of sentencing, particularly as to denunciation. With his repeat offending, specific deterrence continues to have a role to play, but conversely, rehabilitation must be given substantial weight, particularly at this critical time when he is motivated to change and has all of the appropriate supports in place. As observed above, his chances of rehabilitation at present are perhaps better than they have ever been, particularly if Tharawal, can assist the offender to seek treatment for his hearing impairment, or at least provide him with access to hearing aids.</p>
<p><a href="#">Tomlinson [2022] NSWDC 220</a> (Sutherland SC DCJ)</p>	<p>[101] The Defence Tender Bundle also included a number of summaries from the <b>Bugmy Bar Book Project</b> covering the topics of <b>Exposure to Family Violence, Interrupted School Attendance and Multiple Suspensions, Unemployment, Homelessness, Social Exclusion, and COVID-19 Risks and Impacts for Prisoners and Communities</b>. I should note, without detailing them that I have had regard to those summaries</p> <p>...</p> <p>[104] ... I do find that <i>Bugmy</i> principles have some role to play in the instinctive synthesis which leads to a consideration of an overall sentence, and the fixing of an appropriate non-parole period.</p>



<p><a href="#"><u>Neal (No.2) [2022] NSWDC 215</u></a></p> <p>(Mahony SC DCJ)</p> <p><i>Multiple offences including robbery and break and enter - material from Bugmy Bar Book tendered on sentence</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Extracts from <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b> relevant to childhood exposure to domestic and family violence, early exposure to alcohol and other drug abuse and childhood sexual abuse and its impact on children tendered on sentence - provided reference material in addressing deleterious effect of childhood deprivation upon offender: <b>at [63]</b></li> </ul>
<p><a href="#"><u>Edwards [2022] NSWDC 110</u></a></p> <p>(Weinstein SC DCJ)</p> <p><i>Sentencing for aggravated carjacking – consideration of relevant Bugmy Bar Book chapters – an ‘invaluable resource’</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Aboriginal offender with disadvantaged background including sexual abuse, exposure to violence and substance abuse, interrupted school attendance and homelessness</li> <li>• Accepted tender of four chapters from <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b> and referred to additional two chapters – described as ‘invaluable resource’.</li> </ul> <p>[77] The Bar Book Project, known as the <i>Bugmy Bar Book</i>, contains research chapters freely available to practitioners derived from respected experts. It is found on the Public Defenders website: <a href="https://www.publicdefenders.nsw.gov.au/barbook">https://www.publicdefenders.nsw.gov.au/barbook</a>, and provides an invaluable resource of evidence relating to sixteen (soon to be eighteen) indicia of disadvantage: see N Cowdery AO QC, J Hunter and R McMahon, “<i>Sentencing and disadvantage: The Use of Research to Inform the Court</i>” (2020) 32(5) JOB 43.</p> <p>[78] Indeed each chapter of the Bar Book has a subtitle as follows:-</p> <p>The purpose of this document is to collate published research, the findings of government reports and inquiries, and academic commentary in relation to <b>[name of relevant chapter]</b> and the effects this may have on a person’s behaviour; development and social well-being; and links to contact with the criminal justice system.</p> <p>[79] The Bar Book provides additional expert material based on international research which may be used when sentencing an offender: see <i>R v Tsingolas</i> [2022] NSWDC 34 per Yehia SC DCJ. ...</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Consideration and summary of research and findings of each relevant chapter from <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b> and application to this offender and the assessment of his moral culpability: <b>[76]-[105]</b></li> </ul>
<p><a href="#"><u>Tsingolas [2022] NSWDC 34</u></a></p> <p>(Yehia SC DCJ)</p> <p><i>Reckless wounding – causal connection between childhood sexual abuse and offending – relevance of studies and research including</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Offender experienced a traumatic childhood including sexual and physical abuse in a boys home resulting in mental health issues including PTSD</li> <li>• Causal link established between childhood abuse and offence – offender’s PTSD triggered by perceived threat of victim and response was unreasonable and excessive self-defence: <b>[76]-[80]</b></li> <li>• Studies and research on potential impact of childhood sexual abuse referred to by both Crown and defence counsel – included material contained in <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b> chapter on <b>Childhood Sexual Abuse</b> – should be seen as extension of subjective material in individual case: <b>at [81]-[92]</b></li> </ul> <p>[87] This material should be seen as an extension of the subjective material provided in the individual case – here, the expert reports and the evidence of the offender. To an extent greater than ever before, sentencing Judges are now</p>

<p><i>Bugmy Bar Book Project</i></p>	<p>assisted by a body of research into the impact of various forms of childhood disadvantage, deprivation and trauma that may have an ongoing and profound impact upon the individual.</p> <p>[88] Sentencing Judges in the 21st Century have the benefit of that assistance. The material provided is expert research and study that allows for a better understanding of the potentially profound impact of an individual's childhood experiences upon, amongst other things, their capacity to mature, control impulse and self-regulate. The research complements the psychological, psychiatric and other evidence relied upon in the individual case, and can be of substantial assistance in explaining the offending conduct, assessing moral culpability and/or informing the appropriate penalty.</p> <p>[89] This approach has been recognised and utilised in a number of cases in the Court of Criminal Appeal.</p> <p>[The Court went on to discuss <i>Kentwell v R</i> (No 2) [2015] NSWCCA; <i>Perkins v R</i> [2018] NSWCCA 62 (Fullerton J); <i>Bugmy v The Queen</i> [2013] HCA 37; [2013] 249 CLR 571]</p> <p>[92] I am required to determine whether the offender's adversities and disadvantage (primarily amongst them his childhood experience of sexual abuse) reduces his moral culpability. The material filed on his behalf is complemented by the expert opinions expressed in the body of research to which I have been referred. That research not only contextualises the material directly relevant to this offender's subjective case, but also assists me in having a broader understanding (based on expert material rather than my own inexpert understanding of human affairs) of the ongoing and profound impact of childhood sexual abuse.</p>
<p><a href="#">Pana (a pseudonym)</a> [2021] NSWDC 798</p> <p>(Sutherland SC DCJ)</p> <p><i>Child sexual assault offences - material from Bugmy Bar Book referred to on sentence</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Referred to Child Sexual Abuse chapter in <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b> in consideration of relevance of offender's history of being sexually abused as a child:</li> </ul> <p>[129] Detailed reference may be found in the Bugmy Bar Book chapter on 'Child Sexual Abuse'. That chapter collates research, commentary and findings in relation to child sexual abuse and the effect that it has on a person's behaviour, development, mental, physical and social wellbeing and subsequent involvement in the criminal justice system.</p> <p>[130] The involvement of the present offender in childhood sexual abuse as a victim is corroborated by the account in her mother's affidavit and I accept that childhood sexual abuse as described did occur. Research linking such abuse to disorders including personality disorders, together with the psychiatric and psychological material in the present matter, make it likely that such abuse against the offender had an impact on her involvement in the criminal conduct against her own children. I accept that this is relevant in an assessment of moral culpability; see <i>Bugmy</i> [2013] HCA 37; (2013) 249 CLR 571.</p>
<p><a href="#">Jones</a> [2021] NSWDC 713</p> <p>(M L Williams SC DCJ)</p> <p><i>Sentence for offence of use carriage service to procure child for sexual activity –</i></p>	<p>[28] I take into account those conditions both in custody and on bail as well as the significant evidence presented by Mr Bickford, without challenge and the summaries in the extract from the <b>Bugmy Bar Book dealing with COVID-19 and the risks and impacts for prisoners and communities</b> which are becoming well known to judges of this Court in light of the evidence that we hear on an almost daily basis as to the restrictions upon prisoners during the period of the COVID pandemic. These restrictions include a lack of visits, restrictions on phone calls and the ever-present anxiety as to the possibility of contacting the COVID virus while in a custodial environment.</p> <p>[29] I accept that his term in custody, along with other prisoners at that time, was more arduous than would be for the case for prisoners who had served sentences outside of</p>

<p><i>reference to Bugmy Bar Book chapter on effect of Covid-19 on custodial conditions</i></p>	<p>the COVID pandemic and it is an important factor to be synthesised, along with the other sentencing principles in this case.</p>
<p><a href="#">Sequera [2021] NSWDC 573</a> (Mahoney SC DCJ)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Relevant entries from the <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b> referred to in submissions, acknowledged at [79]</li> </ul>
<p><a href="#">Lin [2021] NSWDC 523</a> (Mahoney SC DCJ)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Bugmy Bar Book</b> executive summaries for chapters on <b>child sexual abuse, childhood exposure to domestic and family violence and low socioeconomic status</b> provided, with relevant principles and paragraphs referred to at [24]</li> </ul>
<p><a href="#">Levell [2021] NSWDC 518</a> (Haesler SC DCJ)</p> <p><i>Detain for advantage – no causal connection required in most cases – reference to <u>Significance of Culture to Wellbeing, Healing and Rehabilitation Report</u> in considering impact of incarceration of offender on impending birth of child</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Aboriginal offender removed from mother’s care at 11 months – <i>Bugmy</i> principles applied to reduce moral culpability – no causal connection required <p>[68] ... In most case a causal connection between the crime and factors that reduce moral culpability is not required. That principle stems from the <i>recognition</i> that in sentencing decisions; immaturity, social disadvantage, a childhood deprivation (such as exposure to violence and alcohol abuse), trauma (including as a victim of crime) and mental or intellectual incapacity (often in combination) frequently precedes the commission of crime: <i>Kennedy</i> [2010] NSWCCA 260 at [53]; <i>Millwood</i> [2012] NSWCCA 2 at [69]; <i>Muldrock</i> (2011) 244 CLR 120; [2011] HCA 39 at [54] &amp; [58]; <i>Bugmy</i> (2013) 249 CLR 571: [2013] HCA 37 at [40] and [45]; <i>AWF</i> (2000) 2 VR 1; [2000] VSCA 172; <i>Nasrallah</i> [2021] NSWCCA 207 at [12]; <i>KT</i>; <i>Clarke-Jeffries</i>.</p> </li> <li>Relied upon <b>Significance of Culture to Wellbeing, Healing and Rehabilitation report</b> in considering impact of incarceration of offender in view of impending birth of child – ICO imposed <p>[71] If Levell is returned to gaol he will miss the birth of his child and not be there to support his partner and care for the bay in its first years. There is nothing so exceptional in this simple fact that of itself requires a non-custodial option: <i>Edwards</i> (1996) 90 A Crim R 510 at 515; <i>Hoskins</i> [2016] NSWCCA 157 at [63]. That said, any impact of a custodial sentence must be synthesised along with all other factors.</p> <p>[72] When a parent is gaoled, there is often a significant disruption in the family and an increased risk to any children. Disruption to a family at a critical time can cause lasting trauma and impact on a child’s future emotional and cognitive processes. Positive experiences as a child can enrich lives. Adverse childhood experiences can have lifelong negative impacts: <b>Significance of Culture to Wellbeing, Healing and Rehabilitation; V Edwidge and P Gray, Bugmy Bar Book Project, 2021</b> at [50]. Levell was negatively impacted by his removal from his mother as a baby; any prolonged separation from his soon to be born child risks continuing that cycle.</p> </li> </ul>
<p><a href="#">Saunders [2021] NSWDC 508</a> (Mahoney SC DCJ)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Bugmy Bar Book</b> chapters provided with relevant principles and paragraphs referred to in written submissions – <b>Exposure to Domestic and Family Violence, Interrupted School Attendance and Early Exposure to Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse: [48]-[50]</b></li> </ul>

<p><a href="#">Kirk [2021] NSWDC 389</a> (Beckett DCJ) <i>Reference to Bugmy Bar Book on sentence</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Referred to <b>Bugmy Bar Book Project</b> chapters on <b>Childhood Sexual Abuse</b> and <b>Childhood Exposure to Domestic and Family Violence</b>, considering the impact of these factors and relevance to assessment of offender's moral culpability: <b>[54]-[55]</b></li> <li>Referred to research into the importance of indigenous and culturally appropriate rehabilitation programs [49] ... I accept that recent research and expert opinion indicates that rehabilitation services provided by Indigenous and culturally appropriate organisations, who understand and acknowledge the trauma suffered by Indigenous communities as a result of general removal, segregation and discrimination, together with the support of her close family and community, provide the offender with the best chance of being rehabilitated. These facilities, with oversight by Community Corrections offer a protective measure both for the individual but also the community.</li> </ul>
<p><a href="#">Maher; Maher [2021] NSWDC 80</a> (Yehia SC DCJ) <i>Inflict GBH – causal link between disadvantaged background and offending – reference to Bugmy Bar Book chapters on stolen generations and exposure to family and domestic violence</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Evidence established offenders were Aboriginal brothers with deprived and disadvantaged childhood – intergeneration trauma resulting from mother's removal from family as a child – exposure to family and domestic violence and alcohol abuse – introduction to substance abuse at early age - unstable educational history resulting in learning and behavioural difficulties: <b>[54]-[67]</b></li> <li>Mother removed from family as a child and suffered abuse in out of home care – alcoholic by nineteen with ongoing alcohol addiction problems – impact of trauma of this removal on childhood of offenders - described <b>Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Stolen Generations and Descendants</b> chapter in <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b> as research summary that 'helpfully collates recent findings from numerous sources documenting the adverse consequences experienced by both members of Stolen Generations and their descendants': [61] ...compared with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people who were not removed or who did not have family members removed, descendants of members of Stolen Generations have been found to experience higher rates of incarceration, interaction with police and arrest; poorer physical and mental health outcomes; higher rates of violence; and have a lower level of trust in the general community.</li> <li>Both offenders exposed to violence and alcoholism throughout childhood – reference made to <b>Childhood Exposure to Domestic and Family Violence</b> chapter of <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b>: [70] Irrespective of the age of a child, it is ongoing exposure to violence which has been associated with the highest likelihood of behavioural difficulties. Indeed, research shows that prolonged exposure to violence may cause children to experience trauma and post-traumatic stress disorders which have lasting effects on the child's development, behaviour and well-being. These symptoms include low self-esteem, poor coping mechanisms and substance abuse, as well as complex disturbances such as an inability to regulate emotion, and cognitive and behavioural developmental delays. [71] The offenders' history of deprivation and disadvantage is relevant to reducing their moral culpability. Growing up experiencing or witnessing anger and/or violence and being exposed to such violence in families and communities can lead to individuals responding with anger and violence 'almost automatically' in response to other people's behaviour of perceived provocation, and a reduced capacity to access other ways of dealing with these triggers.</li> </ul>

	<p>[72] This history of deprivation, and the research material tendered in the proceedings relating to the potential impact of such a background upon individuals exposed to such disadvantage in their childhood, is directly relevant to the way in which the offenders (in particular, Trevor) reacted on this occasion. His capacity to self-regulate and contain his anger was impaired. This is not to excuse his conduct in any way. The victim suffered serious injury that has resulted in ongoing impairment. Although the victim was the initial aggressor, he did not deserve the beating he received at the hands of the offenders (either directly or by way of joint criminal enterprise). That history is, however, relevant to an assessment of moral culpability and the weight to be given to some of the purposes of sentencing.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Background of disadvantage and deprivation reduced moral culpability but balanced with protection of the community: <b>[74]</b></li> </ul>
<p><a href="#">Pout [2020] NSWDC 751</a> (Lerve DCJ) <i>Sexual offences – extracts from Bugmy Bar Book Project annexed to submissions</i></p>	<p>[71] Mr King on behalf of the offender annexed to his written submissions (MFI 3 on sentence) extracts from the New South Wales Public Defenders <b>Bugmy Bar Book Project</b>. Clearly, given the material from Professor Greenberg, the principles enunciated by the High Court in <i>Bugmy v The Queen</i> [2013] HCA 37 are enlivened reducing the offender’s moral culpability</p>
<p><a href="#">Dixon [2020] NSWDC 646</a> (Norrish QC DCJ) <i>Robbery offence – sentencing judge familiar with Bar Book project and read through relevant chapters</i></p>	<p>[67] I have material from the <b>Bar Book project</b>. Chapters from that source of information with which I am familiar, dealing with the effect upon individuals of childhood sexual abuse and early exposure to alcohol and other drug abuse. I have again read through those chapters. I have been to some presentations on the Bar Book project.</p>
<p><a href="#">KL [2020] NSWDC 409</a> (Weinstein SC DCJ) <i>Child sexual offences – Bugmy Bar Book Project an invaluable resource of evidence relating to disadvantage</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 14-year-old female offender committed offences on 5-year-old brother - dysfunctional background including sexual and physical abuse – complex mental health issues with ASD, anxiety and PTSD – limited cognitive ability – inability of mother to provide appropriate support</li> </ul> <p>[60] As Fullerton J said in <i>Perkins v R</i> [2018] NSWCCA 62 at 99:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">The insidious effects of exposure to family and domestic violence on children in their formative years, and the potential for that exposure to play out in unforeseen ways as a young child develops from adolescence into adulthood, are well researched and documented.</p> <p>[61] Indeed, the <b>Bar Book Project</b>, found on the Public Defenders website: <a href="https://www.publicdefenders.nsw.gov.au/barbook">https://www.publicdefenders.nsw.gov.au/barbook</a>, provides an invaluable resource of evidence relating to disadvantage. For example, as to childhood sexual abuse, it cites research that sexual abuse is a 'substantial risk factor for the development of subsequent mental health problems'. As to childhood exposure to domestic and family violence, the Bar Book cites research that “there is mounting empirical evidence of the effects of exposure to domestic and family violence on children’s development, and a growing recognition of the ways these harms can manifest in intergenerational cycles of trauma, violence</p>

	<p>and disadvantage.” See also N Cowdery AO QC, J Hunter and R McMahon, “Sentencing and disadvantage: The Use of Research to Inform the Court” (2020) 32(5) JOB 43.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Full weight given to <i>Bugmy</i> principles and moral culpability substantially diminished: <b>[62]-[65]</b></li> </ul>
<p><a href="#">GAM [2020] NSWDC 304</a></p> <p>(Priestly SC DCJ)</p> <p><i>Child sexual offences – history of sexual abuse as a child – helpfully referred to Bugmy Bar Book Project</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Offender subjected to sexual abuse as a child – link to subsequent alcohol abuse, mental health and offending: <b>at [24]-[31]</b></li> </ul> <p>[41] I was helpfully referred to the “<b>Bugmy Bar Book</b>”, a resource found on the Public Defenders website and linked to the Judicial Commission website. Reference is there made to research showing that survivors of childhood sexual abuse maybe at greater risk of engaging in risky sexual behaviours, a comment based on the Australian Institute of Family Studies report “The long-term effects of Child Sexual Abuse”, CFCA paper no. 11 2013, at 8.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Background justified reduction of moral culpability: <b>[40]-[44]</b></li> </ul>
<p><a href="#">Sebbens [2020] NSWDC 213</a></p> <p>(M L Williams SC DCJ)</p> <p><i>Robbery offences – reference to extracts from Bugmy Bar Book Project</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Offender described as having ‘a wretched start to life’ with the ‘full constellation of <i>Bugmy</i> type features: <b>[1]</b></li> <li>• Refers to extracts from new <b>Bugmy Bar Book Project</b> being helpfully included in defence counsel submissions: <b>[16]</b></li> </ul>

Victoria County Court	
<p><a href="#">DPP v Taylor [2022] VCC 2313</a></p> <p>(Deputy Chief Judge Sexton)</p> <p><i>Child sexual offences – reference to chapters from Bugmy Bar Book Project – impact of childhood trauma</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sentencing of offender for child sexual offences</li> <li>• Accepted history of childhood trauma, family violence, sexual abuse, exposure to substance abuse, out of home care and interrupted schooling: <b>at [31]-[32]</b></li> <li>• Summary of impact of childhood trauma by reference to <b>Bugmy Bar Book chapters</b> on family violence, early exposure to alcohol and drug use, childhood sexual abuse and interrupted schooling – slight reduction in moral culpability: <b>at [55]-[63]</b></li> </ul>
<p><a href="#">DPP v Ceu [2021] VCC 1726</a></p> <p>(Judge Tiwana)</p> <p><i>Robbery offences – refugee from Myanmar – reference to</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Offender sentenced for robbery offences</li> <li>• Traumatic childhood as member of persecuted ethnic minority in Myanmar – family came to Australia when offender 8 years old as refugees – continued exposure to difficulty and violence – reference to matters impacting refugee students as set out in <b>Bugmy Bar Book: at [33]</b> – receiving specialised counselling</li> </ul>



<p><i>Bugmy Bar Book chapter</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Moral culpability and general deterrence reduced in view of traumatic background: <b>at [67]</b></li> </ul>
<p><a href="#"><u>DPP v Vida (pseudonym) [2021] VCC 1314</u></a> (Judge Riddel) <i>False imprisonment, causing injury intentionally and indecent assault - reference to Bugmy Bar Book on sentence</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Took into account psychologist's opinion that offender's mental health issues and conduct disorder had origins in childhood trauma – referred to Childhood Sexual Abuse chapter in <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b> in footnote: <b>at [60]</b></li> </ul>

### Queensland District Court

<p><a href="#"><u>Pamtoonda v Commissioner of Police [2021] QDC 207</u></a> (Fantin DCJ)</p>	<p>[66] Other than the submissions identified, no other submissions were made and no material was placed before the Magistrate about the appellant's personal circumstances, background, and any physical or mental health condition. An Aboriginal offender who can be shown to have suffered systemic disadvantage in a way that explains or contributes to an understanding of the offending is entitled to have that taken into account as a mitigating factor. Although the appellant was an Aboriginal man raised in Aurukun, no submissions were made to the learned Magistrate about early exposure to alcohol abuse or violence, deprived background, social disadvantage, or trauma.[20] This meant that there was no material for the learned Magistrate to act upon in that respect. It is incumbent on the advocate appearing on sentence to place relevant material in mitigation before the court. The court cannot act in a vacuum.</p> <p>Footnote [20]: See <i>Bugmy</i> [2013] HCA 37; [2013] 249 CLR 571; <i>Fernando</i> (1992) 76 A Crim R 58. See also the resources available to assist practitioners appearing for Aboriginal offenders such as the New South Wales Public Defender's Office Bugmy Bar Book at <a href="https://www.publicdefenders.nsw.gov.au/barbook">https://www.publicdefenders.nsw.gov.au/barbook</a></p>
--	---

### ACT Magistrates Court

<p><a href="#"><u>Police v Tracey [2022] ACTMC 26</u></a> (Special Magistrate Hopkins) <i>Assault offences – decision of Galambany Circle sentencing Court – reference to chapters and Report from Bugmy Bar Book</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>50 year old Indigenous offender sentenced for assault offences committed while in lawful custody – separation from mother, family, culture and Country through adoption at 6 weeks of age led to lifetime of isolation, institutionalisation and deep depression: <b>at [6]</b></li> <li>Reference to the <b>Significance of Culture to Wellbeing, Healing and Rehabilitation Report</b> commissioned by the <i>Bugmy Bar Book</i> Committee and <b>R v BS-X [81]-[82]</b> - importance of offender's growing connection to family and culture for long-term healing and rehabilitation: <b>at [6]</b></li> <li>Accepted significant impact of abuse suffered while offender detained in two notorious institutions as a child and young person: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>[54] Your experiences as a child and young person within these state run institutions caused you enormous and ongoing harm. They were institutions of fear, not safety; of isolation, not connection. Your criminal history demonstrates that your experiences in these institutions did not support you to live a healthy</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
---	--

<p><i>Project – finding of special circumstances</i></p>	<p>life in the community. Indeed, there is little doubt that the harm done to you in these institutions is inextricably linked to your offending and ongoing incarceration.</p> <p>[55] As is recognised by the Australian Law Reform Commission in its Pathways to Justice – An Inquiry into the Incarceration Rate of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples, Final Report (2017) ‘child removal into out-of-home care and juvenile detention could be considered as key drivers of adult incarceration’ (p 485). See also, <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b>, Out of Home Care (September 2021) pp 10-12; <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b>, Impacts of Imprisonment and Remand in Custody (November 2022).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Impact of childhood trauma moderated moral culpability and established special circumstances permitting sentence to be imposed concurrent to existing sentence with period of parole – importance of supervision in community after release from custody: <b>at [19]-[21]; [84]</b></li> </ul>
<p><a href="#"><u>Jervis v Tian-Jarrah Denniss [2022] ACTMC 20</u></a> (Special Magistrate Hopkins) <i>Assault and property damage offences – Galambany Court – reference to chapter of Bugmy Bar Book and Wellbeing Report</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sentencing of Aboriginal offender with profoundly traumatic childhood including physical and sexual abuse – offences committed while in custody <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>[36] ... A ‘robust body of research now clearly demonstrates the link between child sexual abuse and a spectrum of adverse mental health, social, sexual, interpersonal and behavioural as well as physical health consequences’: Australian Institute of Family Studies, The Long-term Effects of Child Sexual Abuse (CFCA Paper No 11, 2013) 23 cited in <b>Bugmy Bar Book, Child Sexual Abuse (November 2019) 1.</b></li> </ul> </li> <li>• Referred to importance of structured culturally connected and engaged rehabilitation program on release: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>[48] Strengthening your connection to community and culture in this way may well be central to your long-term healing and rehabilitation: see <b>Vanessa Edwige and Dr Paul Gray, Significance of Culture to Wellbeing, Healing and Rehabilitation (Report commissioned by the Bugmy Bar Book Committee, 2021); R v BS-X [81]-[82]</b></li> </ul> </li> <li>• Found special circumstances permitting sentence to be imposed concurrent to existing sentence with period of parole – importance of potential for support and protection of community provided by supervised release: <b>at [63]-[64]</b></li> </ul>
<p><a href="#"><u>Etheredge v Freeman [2022] ACTMC 11</u></a> (Special Magistrate Hopkins) <i>Sentencing for property damage – Galambany Court – reference to incarceration of caregivers chapter of Bugmy Bar Book and</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Aboriginal offender with disadvantaged background including incarceration of father, early substance abuse and death of Grandmother</li> <li>• Referenced <b>Incarceration of a Parent or Caregiver</b> chapter from <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b> – research establishes negative and intergenerational impact of incarceration of parent on child’s emotional, behavioural and psychological development: <b>[21]-[22]</b></li> <li>• Referenced Vanessa Edwige and Dr Paul Gray, <b>Significance of Culture to Wellbeing, Healing and Rehabilitation report</b> – importance of strengthening connection to community and culture as central to long-term healing and rehabilitation of offender: <b>[39]</b></li> <li>• Suspended sentence imposed as recommended by elders – reference to Yeddung Mura: Aboriginal corporation delivering services to First Nations People in ACT: <b>[63]</b></li> </ul>

<p><i>Significance of Culture to Wellbeing, Healing and Rehabilitation Report</i></p>	
<p><a href="#"><u><b>Police v Kinnara Connors [2022] ACTMC 6</b></u></a> (Special Magistrate Hopkins)  <i>Assault offences – decision of Galambany Circle sentencing Court – reference to chapters and Report from Bugmy Bar Book Project</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 20 year old indigenous offender sentenced for assault offences</li> <li>• Reference to the <b>Significance of Culture to Wellbeing, Healing and Rehabilitation Report</b> commissioned by the <i>Bugmy Bar Book</i> Committee and importance of offender’s growing connection to Country and culture for long-term healing and rehabilitation: <b>at [4]</b></li> <li>• Considered profound and emotional impact of childhood trauma with reference to chapters in <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b> on ‘Childhood Exposure to Domestic and Family Violence’ (November 2019); ‘Early Exposure to Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse’ (November 2019), ‘Out-of-Home Care’, (September 2021) and ‘Interrupted School Attendance and Suspension’ (December 2019): <b>at [50]-[52]</b></li> <li>• Accepted evidence of positive engagement with Worldview Foundation program providing holistic life management programs and employment opportunities for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people facing disadvantage: <b>at [55]-[59]</b></li> <li>• Reference to potential negative consequences of imprisonment for offender and community, referring to <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b>, ‘Impacts of Imprisonment and Remand in Custody’ (November 2022) - imposed Intensive Correction Order: <b>at [63]-[67]</b></li> </ul>

### Children’s Courts

<p><a href="#"><u><b>Louie [2024] NSWChC 10</b></u></a> (Children’s Magistrate Hayes)  <i>Reference to Bugmy Bar Book</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Imposition of Community Treatment Order for juvenile in relation to multiple offences of violence - history of mental illness and childhood deprivation</li> <li>• Referred to <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b> as comprising ‘expertly reviewed research’ and quoted from Executive Summary of select chapters: <b>at [42]-[43]</b></li> </ul>
<p><b>Police v Pedro Swain (pseudonym) [2024] ACTCC 1</b> (Magistrate Stewart)  <i>Reference to Bugmy Bar Book</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Imposition of sentence on juvenile in Children’s Court</li> <li>• Referred to <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b> as setting out in detail some of the experiences relevant to many Aboriginal people – listed 16 chapters – noted juvenile in this case ‘has been exposed to many of those experiences, or his personal history is relevant to them’: <b>at [60]-[61]</b></li> </ul>
<p><b>Police v Axl Joseph</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Imposition of sentence on juvenile in Children’s Court</li> </ul>

<p><b>(pseudonym)</b> <b>[2023] ACTCC 1</b></p> <p>(Magistrate Stewart)</p> <p><i>Reference to Bugmy Bar Book</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Referred to <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b> as setting out in detail some of the experiences relevant to many Aboriginal people – listed 16 chapters – noted juvenile in this case ‘has been exposed to many of those experiences, or his personal history is relevant to them’: <b>at [103]-[104]</b></li> </ul>
---	--

## Tribunals

<p><b><u><a href="#">1823926</a></u></b> <b><u><a href="#">(Refugee) [2023] AATA 3097</a></u></b></p> <p>(Rachel Da Costa Member)</p> <p><i>Appeal in relation to protection visa - reference to Refugee Background chapter in Bugmy Bar Book</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Appeal against decision not to grant protection visas</li> <li>In relation to two applicants accepted possibility of trauma contributing to undiagnosed mental health issues, referencing discussion in Refugee Background chapter in <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b> about challenges faced by asylum seekers integrating into Australian society: <b>at [146] Fn 20 and [159] Fn 22.</b></li> <li>Decision not to grant visa affirmed</li> </ul>
<p><b><u><a href="#">LGNC and Minister for Immigration, Citizenship and Multicultural Affairs (Migration) [2023] AATA 2282</a></u></b></p> <p>(Mrs J C Kelly Senior Member)</p> <p><i>Appeal against mandatory visa cancellation - reference to Bugmy Bar Book by Applicant</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Appeal against decision not to revoke mandatory cancellation of visa</li> <li>Visa cancelled after applicant sentenced for offence of assault with intent to rob in company</li> <li>Applicant referred to ‘Refugee Background’ in the <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b> – no further mention in judgment: <b>at [30]</b></li> <li>Appeal allowed ‘another reason’: <b>at [129]</b></li> </ul>
<p><b><u><a href="#">Ferguson v Mission Australia [2024] NSWCATAP 183</a></u></b></p> <p>(D.Ziegler and J.McAteer Senior Members)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Appeal from decision of NSW Civil and Administrative Tribunal in relation to residential tenancy agreement – application for leave to rely upon chapter on homelessness in <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b> refused: <b>at [92]-[100]</b></li> <li>Material constituted new evidence available but not presented to Tribunal at first instance – after review of contents not satisfied would further applicant’s case – useful but generic information – would not have assisted Tribunal assess applicant’s particular circumstances - absence of specific information about tenant’s financial situation and alternative housing</li> </ul>

<p><i>Appeal against termination of residential tenancy agreement – Bugmy Bar Book Homelessness chapter</i></p>	
<p><a href="#"><u><b>FYF v Commissioner of Victims Rights [2024] NSWCATAD 4</b></u></a></p> <p>(M Riordan, Senior Member)</p> <p><i>Eligibility for victim’s support – application for review of refusal to grant recognition payment – reliance on evidence of primary victim’s difficult childhood – reference to relevant material in Bugmy Bar Book</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Primary victim one of two persons fatally stabbed outside unit block – alleged offender acquitted of murder of primary victim on basis of self-defence – convicted of manslaughter of second person on basis of excessive self defence</li> <li>• Initial claim for counselling and recognition payment by primary victim’s daughter refused – Assessor accepted primary victim died from act of violence under s.19 <i>Victims Rights and Support Act</i> 2013 but refused claim under s.44(1) on basis primary victim’s own conduct directly led to death: <b>at [4]-[5]</b></li> <li>• Request for internal review made on ground: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>[15] ...(the primary victim)’s actions did not entirely cause her death; and that consideration should be given to (her) childhood, attitude and disposition.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Submissions included description of primary victim’s difficult childhood with relevant material from <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b> highlighting impact of experience of disadvantage of Aboriginal people: <b>at [8]</b></li> <li>• On review accepted primary victim’s actions contributed to her death but that facts of matter supported reduction not refusal of amount of recognition payment: <b>at [41]-[42]</b></li> </ul> <p>See related cases:</p> <p><a href="#"><u><b>FYG v Commissioner of Victims Rights [2024] NSWCATAD 5</b></u></a></p> <p><a href="#"><u><b>FZB v Commissioner of Victims Rights [2024] NSWCATAD 2</b></u></a></p>
<p><a href="#"><u><b>YDB v NSW Land and Housing Corporation [2023] NSWCATAP 55</b></u></a></p> <p>(S Thode, and E.Bishop SC, Senior Members)</p> <p><i>Appeal against termination of residential tenancy agreement – Bugmy Bar Book Homelessness chapter</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Appeal from decision of NSW Civil and Administrative Tribunal in residential tenancy agreement dismissed</li> <li>• Application for leave to rely upon statutory declaration and <b>Bugmy Bar Book</b> Executive Summary on Homelessness as additional evidence refused: <b>at [67]-[68]</b></li> </ul>

